

278230
278229
278228

Duke, Daphne

From: Boyd, Jocelyn
Sent: Sunday, September 09, 2018 3:22 PM
To: Easterling, Deborah; Duke, Daphne
Cc: Melchers, Joseph; Butler, David; Spearman, James
Subject: Fwd: 2017-370-E, 2017-207-E, 2017-305-E

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Sandra keeper
Date: September 8, 2018 at 8:01:07 PM EDT
To: Jocelyn Boyd <jocelyn.boyd@psc.sc.gov>
Subject: 2017-370-E, 2017-207-E, 2017-305-E
Reply-To: Sandra keeper <[om](#)>

Bifurcation and Rate increases
2018

September 8,

2017-370-E, 2017-207-E, 2017-305-E

Public Service Commission
Jocelyn G. Boyd, Esq
South Carolina Public Service Commission
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Commissioners,

I want to start out by clarifying a couple of things that you may or may not be aware of.

The way SCANA/SCE&G and, yes, Dominion, too, are trying to change the stigma that is now fully associated with the New VC Summer Nuclear Reactor name is appalling. And that you as a commission are not insisting on this new name to be dropped is sickening! This fiasco needs to be called by it's true name and NOT a new acronym to try to lift the disgrace. The fiasco NND is still a fiasco. The Boondoggle NND is still extortion to the ratepayers. The NND Debacle is still

an ignominious failure! Any name you call this is still going to reflect the poor management and wasteful construction that went on until, and yes, is still going on in the closing of it. This is the VC Summer nuclear reactor debacle!

SCANA/SCE&G is notorious for swamping their dissenters with duplication and copy and paste throughout their documents. When I was an intervenor against SCANA/SCE&G, I was outraged at how many whole paragraphs and phrases were obviously copied and pasted throughout the documentation to make for more reading on their opposition. I understand that, regarding the cases at hand, there are millions of pages of documents SCANA/SCE&G are presenting in their attempt to swamp their opposition. But, I am also told that in all of the garbage they are sending to the lawyers, and you, there are jewels of information in all the copied claptrap. All of it needs to be read.

I understand, as well, that this commission has permitted the Dominion Docket and the dockets involving the rate increases and their prudence to be placed together. Dockets 2017-370-E, 2017-207-E, 2017-305-E. What can you possibly be thinking to say these are of the same thing. They are not. You need to rescind your ruling on that matter. The rate increases and their prudence were matters dealing with years of fabrication, falsification, half-truths, exaggeration, omission, and invention on the part of SCANA. And not any of this has or had anything to do with Dominion and their purchase of SCANA.

Therefore, these rate increases and the prudence of their submissions should be weighed and decided with Dominion nowhere in the equation. Dominion has nothing to do with the rates, or the BASE LOAD REVIEW ACT. You need to remove Dominion and their docket from the rate hearings. To use the fact that witnesses would be inconvenienced is really hard to

swallow. Dominion's witnesses have nothing to do with the rates, the BLRA or matters in the two SCANA dockets. SCANA simply placing the Dominion name on those dockets does NOT entitle Dominion a place at the table.

Sandra Wright

Columbia, SC e